

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,323 07/28/2003		07/28/2003	Niels Johannes Beck	1125.131	4443	
23598	7590	11/12/2004	EXAMINER			
		SON NEWHOL	TRIEU, T	TRIEU, THAI BA		
250 E. WISCO SUITE 1030	UNSIN A	VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUKE	E, WI	53202	3748			

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•		N A A			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	$\sqrt{N}$			
	,	10/628,32	3	BECK ET AL.	W 0 C			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thai-Ba Ti	rieu .	3748				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHO THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periot reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statically received by the Office later than three months after the margalent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu od will apply and wil tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da I expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cation to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.			
Status								
2a)□ 1 3)□ 5	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (6)⊠ (6)⊠ (6)⊠ (6)	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) 1-28,31,33 and 38 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 29,30,32 and 34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 35-37 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from cor						
Applicatio	•							
10)⊠ T # F	he specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on <u>02 September 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ a the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. So ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docume  Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(								
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate	ГО-152)			

Art Unit: 3748

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on September 02, 2004. Applicant's cooperation in correcting the informalities in the drawing and specification is appreciated. Claims 6-7, 9, 13, 15, 17-28, and 30-37 were amended.

Applicant's arguments, see Pages 19-25, filed September 02, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) **29-30, 32 and 34** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is set forth below.

The terminal disclaimer filed on September 02, 2004, has been approved.

Accordingly, the double Patenting Rejection has been withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zur Loye et al. (Patent Number WO 98/10179 A2), in view of Nozowa et al. (Patent Number 6,031652).

Zur Loye discloses a method comprising:

Art Unit: 3748

injecting a liquid fuel into an air stream to form a homogeneous mixture of air (via fuel injector 42) (See Figure 1, Pages 4, lines 15-27, and Page 5, lines 1-2);

admitting said mixture into a combustion chamber of an internal combustion engine (See Figure 1);

igniting the liquid fuel in said mixture by compression ignition so as to achieve homogeneous charge compression ignition (HCCI) of said liquid fuel (See Figure 1, Page 5, lines 17-28, Pages 6-8, lines 1-27, and Page 9, lines 1-4). However, Zur Loye fails to disclose atomized droplets of fuel having a diameter

less than about 50 microns and between about 5 microns and about 20 microns.

Nozawa et al. teach that it is conventional in the combustion system art having variable fuel atomization control, to utilize an atomized droplets of fuel (via 18) having a diameter less than about 50 microns and between about 5 microns and about 20 microns (See Abstract, Figures 5A-5b, and Column 2, lines 11-19 and 53-54, Column 6, lines 66-67, Column 7, lines 1-9 and 41-52).

It would has been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made, to have utilized an atomized droplets of fuel having a diameter less than about 50 microns and between about 5 microns and about 20 microns, as taught by Nozawa, to improve the efficiency of Zur Loye device, since the use thereof would have improved the microscopic homogeneity and reduced particulate matter production.

Art Unit: 3748

Claims 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zur Loye et al. (Patent Number WO 98/10179 A2), in view of Nozowa et al. (Patent Number 6,031652), and further in view of Talbert (Patent Number 4,429,675).

The modified Zur Loye device discloses the invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose said liquid fuel being injected via at least one fogging nozzle and having an impaction device.

Talbert teaches that it is conventional in the fuel system of the internal combustion engine art, to utilize a fogging nozzle (via 99) to inject said liquid fuel and an impaction device to atomize fuel droplets(See Figure 1, Column 4, lines 59-68, and Column 5, lines 1-3).

It would has been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made, to have utilized the fogging nozzle and an impaction device, as taught by Talbert, to provide fine fuel droplets and improve the efficiency of the modified Zur Loye device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-28, 31, 33, and 38 are allowed.

Claims **35-37** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

**Art Unit: 3748** 

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Dickey (US Patent Number 5,875,743) discloses an apparatus and a method for controlling homogeneous charge compression ignition combustion in diesel engines.
- Iida (US Patent Number 6,640,754 B1) discloses an ignition timing system for homogeneous charge compression engine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai-Ba Trieu whose telephone number is (703) 308-6450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3748

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTB November 9, 2004 Thai-Ba Trieu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3748

Page 6